G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

~~191~~ 98

(G. 7)

File No. 55 of 98

Serial Nos. 1—4

Later references.

P:12/14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

House-building advances to Subbarāya Shastry and Ramasastry, Head-master and Assistant-master of Govt. Girl School, Bangalore

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-18

Communicated

Noted

5605

AR 3

7C 1086

Edn. 56]

1898-9.

From

The Inspector General of Education

in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts,
Bangalore.

No. 7176/231

Dated

Received 4th March 1899.

Enclosure No.

Subject

Recommending house-building advance to Subbaraya Sastri and Ramasastri 4th Master and Assistant Master of the Chamarajpete, Govt. Girls' School, Bangalore

Rev. Sec
13/3/99

Rd 193/99

Submitted with draft
17/3/99

Rd 17/3/99

2

Edn. 56]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No. 7176/231 Genls-2 Camp Hassan, ~~Bangalore~~, 4th March 1899.

From

H. J. BHABHA, Esq.,

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Depts.,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the Lady Superintendent of the Government Girls' Schools, Bangalore has recommended advances of one year's salary each to M. Subrayya Sastri, Headmaster, and Rama Sastri, Assistant Master of the Chamarajpete Government Hindu Girls' School, Bangalore for building houses in the Basavanapura Extension. The monthly salary of the Headmaster is Rs. 10, and that of the Assistant Master Rs. 7. As I believe that the advances recommended are necessary, I request that Government may be pleased to sanction them under the rules in force in Bangalore

for making such advances. The applications of the two Masters are herewith enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. R. Venkata Rao Avvaiyangar

for Inspector General -

Hindu marriage Section

బెంగళూరు కార్పొరేషన్ లో పనిచేస్తున్న [illegible]

బదలాయించబడినది

ఇట్లు మీ విధేయుడు [illegible] తెలియజేసుకొనునది.

[illegible] ప్రకారం [illegible] ప్రకారం [illegible] ప్రకారం [

6

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

READ:—

Letter No: 71-76 (231) dated 4 March 1899 from the Inspector General of Education in Mysore, recommending the grant (to M. Lubroyaiah Sastri, Head master and Rama Sastri Assistant Master, Chamarajpet Govt. Girls School, Bangalore of an advance of one year's pay each to enable him to build a house in the Basavangude extension of the Bangalore City.

6532-4 — 17 98

ORDER THEREON—No. DATED, BANGALORE, 18/3/99

The grant of an advance of one years' pay, as below, to the above named officials is sanctioned, subject to strict compliance with the rules laid down in Government Proceedings No. 3528-562, F. L. 365, dated 28th November 1898.

1. M. Lubroyaiah Sastri Rs. 120-"-"
2 Ramasastri " 84-"-"

17/3/99
Secretary.

To—The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
" The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
" " Insp: Genl of Educat

160

7

article 136 of the Civil Dest. Code is put up.
Submitted for orders.
The Secretary

Rules in the Civil D. Code do not state that interest should be levied in default of payment of instalments. It is only stated that repayment of the whole advance shall be completed in two years.

ar. 10/10/01

The 2d Case (Mr Ponnusamy Pillai) Calls for some notice

M 21/10/01

See remarks within - Interest need not be charged unless the rules require.

1/11/1901

Submitted with draft
ar. 7/11/01

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. R47
OCT. 5 - 1901
NO
DEWAN'S OFFICE
1899

No. 7

From 5.

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Rev & Agl Dept.

Dated 24 -

Despatched 1/10 September

Received

L. F. No. 2007

No. 305

SUBJECT MATTER.

Requesting to obtain early orders of Govt. regarding ~~repayment~~ recovery of Taccavi ~~loans~~ advances with interest

~~Subd to Secy with~~
Reference thro' Hd Asst.
HD. 3/10/01

Ref put up
Secy just.
6/10/01

A spare copy of revised articles

R 4/11/01

Sml. 5/10

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue *Dept.*

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of Government and for such orders as they deem proper two cases of delay in the repayment of House Building advances. (1) Subbaraya Sastri, an assistant Master in the Arya Balika Pattasala, Bangalore was given a houde building advance of Rs.120/- in Government Pro: No.6552-4/RF17-98 dated 18th March 99. He received the first instalment of the advance on 1st May 99 and commenced the repayment from 1st July 99 the instalments not having been paid regularly the Inspector General of Education was written to and he in his letter No.2271/169 dated 2nd Septr: 1901 states that the non-recovery was due to the assistant master having been on leave without allowances for 4½ months, and suggests that as the masters pay is only Rs.10/- and as he is unable to pay the amount due viz Rs.12/3 in one lump sum, it may be recovered in 5 equal monthly instalments.

Sanction this

Under Art: 136 rule III of Civil Account Code, the repayment of a House Building advance must be completed in 2 years In the present case the period prescribed by the Code has been exceeded by 3 months. I beg therefore to know whether in such cases, the balance due may be recovered in instalments and if so how the amount and the period within which they are to be made pay-able regularly circumstances in each case.

The other case in question is that of Mr.N.R.Ponnusawm

SPECIAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

L. F. No. 200/385 *Bangalore,* 24th Septr: 1901

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.,
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
General and Revenue *Dept.*

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of Government and for such orders as they deem proper two cases of delay in the repayment of House Building advances. (1) Subbaraya Sastri, an assistant Master in the Arya Balika Pattasala, Bangalore was given a house building advance of Rs.120/- in Government Pro: No.6552-4/RF17-98 dated 18th March 99. He received the first instalment of the advance on 1st May 99 and commenced the repayment from 1st July 99 the instalments not having been paid regularly the Inspector General of Education was written to and he in his letter No.2271/169 dated 2nd Septr: 1901 states that the non-recovery was due to the assistant master having been on leave without allowances for 4½ months, and suggests that as the masters pay is only Rs.10/- and as he is unable to pay the amount due viz Rs.12/3 in one lump sum, it may be recovered in 5 equal monthly instalments.

Under Art: 136 rule III of Civil Account Code, the repayment of a House Building advance must be completed in 2 years In the present case the period prescribed by the Code has been exceeded by 3 months. I beg therefore to know whether in such cases, the balance due may be recovered in instalments and if so how the amount and the period within which they are to be made pay-able regularly circumstances in each case.

The other case in question is that of Mr.N.R.Ponnusawm

N.R.Ponnusawmy Pillay, Hon: Sub Assistant Surgeon. He drew the House Building advance on 7th February 99 and as per Codal rule above quoted the advance ought to have been repaid fully by the 1st of April 1901. But there remained on that date a balance of Rs.175/- or 7 instalments of Rs.25/- each. The explanation for the non payment of these instalments as ascertained from the Treasury Department of both Bangalore and Kolar Districts and the Hon: Sub Assistant Surgeon himself is that when the sub assistant surgeon was transferred from the Chennapatna to Chiskballapur Taluk in April 1900, the Taluk Treasury Officer of Chennapatna failed to note in the last pay certificate granted by him the balance of House Building advance due by that Officer and that consequently when the deduction was noted in his first pay bill, the Chickballapur Taluk Treasury refused to cash the bill unless the reason for the deduction was also noted there, as the amount deducted could not be credited to any head of account in the absence of such information. Instead of furnishing the Treasury with the information wanted the sub assistant surgeon drew a fresh bill without the deduction and cashed it. He similarly drew full pay for subsequent months also which accounts for the unpaid balance of 7 instalments as mentioned above. Of these 7 instalments Mr.Ponnusawmy Pillay has since paid 1 instalment of Rs.25/-. I am of opinion that in this case the conduct of both the Treasury Officer of Chennapatna Taluk in omitting to note in the last pay certificate the deduction for House Building advance and of the Hon: Sub Assistant Surgeons in having drawn the full salary without furnishing the necessary details for the deduction first made by him was highly irregular. In this and similar cases where the party had no excuse whatever on withholding payment of prescribed instalments I am of strongly of opinion that the entire balance due should be recovered at once with interest @ 4% per annum.

I beg therefore that you will be so good as to obtain early orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Comptroller.

10

Dealt as per orders

Read:—

Letter no 2004-305 dated 24th Sep: 1901, from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt, bringing to notice that Gulbaraya Ghastey, Asst Teacher, Arya Balika Pattasala, Bangalore and Mr S. R. Ponnasamy Pillay, Hon Sub-Asst Surgeon, failed to pay instalments in repayment of House-building advance drawn by them.

Under thereon:—

It is represented that Gulbaraya Ghastey, Asst Teacher in the Arya Balika Pattasala, Bangalore, in whose favour a house-building advance of Rs 1250 was sanctioned in Govt Pro: No 6552 dated 18th March 1899 RD 17-99 received the first instalment

Section G. T.
File No 550-98
4

No 4 7 11 7992 10/12/01
10

of the advance on 18th May 1899 and commenced repayment from 1st July 1899. The instalments not having been paid by him regularly, the Dirs: Gl. of Education was written to. He states that the non-recovery of instalments was due to the Asst Teacher having been on leave without allowances for 4½ months and suggests that as the Asst Teacher is unable to pay the amount due, viz, Rs 12-8-0, in a lump sum, his pay being only Rs 10/- it may be recovered in 5 equal monthly instalments.

2. Mr S.R. Ponnusamy Pillay, Hon'y Sub Asst Surgeon, drew on the 7th Feby 1899, the house-building advance sanctioned to him in Govt Pro: No 1087/4-6 Dis 1500/95 dated 16th May 1898. The Comptroller reports that the advance drawn ought to have been repaid fully by the 1st of April 1901, but that there remained on

of the advance on 1st May
1899 and commenced repayment
from 1st July 1899. The instalments
not having been paid by him
regularly, the Inspr. Genl of Education
was written to. He states that the
non-recovery of instalments
was due to the Asst Teacher
having been on leave without
allowances for 4½ months
and suggests that as the
Asst Teacher is unable to pay
the amount due, viz, Rs 12-8-0,
in a lump sum, his pay be-
-ing only Rs 10/- it may be
recovered in 5 equal monthly
instalments.

2. Mr S.R. Ponnusamy Pillay,
Asst Sub Asst Surgeon, drew
on the 7th Febry 1899, the house-
-building advance sanctioned
to him in Govt Pro: No 1087/4-6
dated 16th May 1898. The
Comptroller reports that the
advance drawn ought to
have been repaid fully
by the 1st of April 1901, but
that there remained on

12

on that date a balance of Rs 175/=. The Comptroller stated that the reason for non-payment of instalments is that when the Hon'ble Asst Surgeon was transferred from the Chennapatam to Chikballapur Taluk in April 1900, the Taluk Treasury Officer failed to note in the last pay certificate granted by him the balance of house-building advance due by the Sub Asst Surgeon and that consequently when the deduction was noted in the Sub-Asst Surgeon's first pay bill the Chikballapur Taluk Treasury refused to cash the bill as the reason for the deduction was not noted there. It is further stated that instead of furnishing the Treasury with the information required the Sub-Asst Surgeon drew a fresh bill without noting the deduction and that he only so drew full pay for the subsequent months also.

Taluk Rel

3.

3. In the case of Subbaraya Shastry, the amount due by him may be recovered in 5 equal monthly instalments as suggested by the Dirs: of Education. In Mr Ponnusamy Pillay's case, the conduct of both the Treasury Officer of the Chemnapatam Taluk in omitting to note in the last pay certificate the deduction for house-building advance due of the Asst Sub-Asst Surgeon in having drawn the full Salary was and unsatisfactory. highly irregular. The entire balance due by him ~~and by others in similar cases~~ should be recovered at once with interest at 4 p.c, ~~per annum and interest at 5 p.c. should be charged if it is not paid up within a month~~ either by one lump sum or by the pay being withheld till the amt is fully liquidated.

Subordinates

This becomes a General order

MS 7/11/01

18/11/01 Secretary

7/12/1901

To be Completed. Impose Gazette. 5/11

7.12.01